# DEFIBROTIDE FOR THE TREATMENT AND PREVENTION OF HEPATIC VENO-OCCLUSIVE DISEASE

Selim Çorbacıoğlu University of Regensburg, Regensburg, Germany

epatic veno-occlusive disease (VOD) is a serious complication of stem cell transplantation (SCT) and is characterized by weight gain, hepatomegaly, hyperbilirubinemia and ascites. The pathogenesis of VOD is still incompletely understood but involves damage to the sinusoidal endothelium, resulting in endothelial injury. This process leads to concomitant progressive hepatocellular dysfunction and subsequent fluid retention and renal impairment. Whilst the spectrum of illness is broad, VOD in its severe form is typically associated with multi-organ failure (MOF) and high mortality. A number of possible strategies for the prevention and/or treatment of VOD have been investigated. The most promising agent to date is defibrotide, a novel polydeoxyribonucleotide with fibrinolytic properties and no major bleeding risk. Several clinical trials have shown that patients benefit from defibrotide treatment, and positive results have also been observed with defibrotide used as prophylaxis.

#### Introduction

Hepatic veno-occlusive disease (VOD) is a potentially fatal complication that usually arises within the first weeks of hematopoietic stem cell transplantation (SCT) with a peak around day +12 post-transplant. VOD is usually fatal in its severest form and is typically characterized by fluid retention, weight gain, hepatomegaly, ascites and hyperbilirubinemia, with no other identifiable cause for liver dysfunction (1-3). Two sets of clinical criteria are used for the diagnosis of VOD. The Seattle criteria require the presence of at least two of the major criteria require a blood bilirubin level over 2.0 mg/ dL in addition to the presence of two or more major clinical characteristics (hepatomegaly, ascites or ≥5% weight gain) within 21 days post-transplantation (4). VOD is thought to result from endothelial cell injury, followed by hepatocellular toxicity, and typically follows high-dose chemotherapy and radiation used as part of conditioning for stem cell transplantation. (1,3,5). **Pathogenesis of VOD** The development of VOD is thought to begin

clinical features (jaundice, painful hepatomegaly

or ascites and/or unexplained weight gain) within

30 days of transplantation (1,3). The Baltimore

with damage to sinusoidal endothelial cells most often caused by pre-SCT conditioning (2,6). Sinusoidal obstruction is prominent histopathologically, and thus VOD is also described as sinusoidal obstruction syndrome (SOS) (7,8). Damage to the endothelium triggers a prothrombotic phenotype at the endothelial cell surface, resulting in endothelial activation and a variety of downstream effects (8,9). Cellular debris and fibrin-related aggregates block the small pores that perforate the endothelial lining; venous outflow is obstructed, and the resulting intrahepatic portal hypertension causes the main clinical manifestations of VOD. In addition, other features specific to the transplant setting, such as stem cell source (autologous versus allogeneic), and the effects these on endothelial cells may further add to the pathogenicity of VOD (9-12).

Reduced levels of hepatic nitric oxide (NO) have been detected as a consequence of the endothelial

damage and vascular obstruction that occurs (13). Furthermore it has been shown that NO plays a key role in reducing hepatocyte damage and increasing hepatic microcirculation, suggesting that NO is important in the abrogation of ischemiareperfusion injury (14).

Several markers of endothelial injury (e.g., plasminogen activator inhibitor type-1 (PAI-1) and soluble thrombomodulin) are upregulated in patients with VOD (15,16). PAI-1 is an important inhibitor of fibrinolysis (17) and has been identified as being both a diagnostic and prognostic biomarker for VOD (18). Another biomarker associated with elevated VOD risk following BMT is transforming growth factor- $\beta$  (TGF- $\beta$ ), a cytokine directly involved in fibrogenesis (19,20,21).

## Incidence and risk factors for VOD

SCT is frequently offered with a variety of malignant and nonmalignant conditions (22). SCT in children is associated with a particularly high risk for VOD. The incidence following SCT ranges from 11–60%(23-29,30), giving a mean incidence in children of approximately 25% compared with an incidence of VOD in adults of 13.7% (7). In part this increased incidence in children is due to certain malignant and inherited diseases that are associated with a substantially increased risk of VOD during SCT including neuroblastoma (29), familial hemophagocytic lymphohistiocytosis (HLH, Griscelli syndrome) (30) and osteopetrosis (28).

Additional independent risk factors for VOD were identified (24). Younger age (<6.7 years) was associated with an increased incidence of VOD compared with age  $\geq 6.7$  years (17% vs 4%, respectively; p = 0.001) (24). In this study, busulfancontaining conditioning regimens were associated with an increased risk of VOD compared with other conditioning regimens (25% vs 13%, respectively; p < 0.001). This observation was confirmed by other investigators where busulfan conditioning was shown to be a significant risk factor for the development of VOD (31) (P = 0.001), (23), with an incidence of hepatic VOD ranging from 22% to 32% (32,33). In addition, the incidence of VOD was less in patients receiving heparin prophylaxis (6%), compared with those either receiving pentoxifylline, prostaglandin E1, or no prophylaxis at all (21%; p = 0.001) (24).

Gemtuzumab ozogamicin (GO) is a monoclonal antibody was withdrawn from the U.S. market in

2010 following reports of increased incidence of VOD in AML patients in the absence of SCT as well as during the early post-transplantation period in patients who had been previously treated with the agent. Time from GO treatment to transplantation appeared to be important with respect to the development of VOD (34).

## **Prevention of VOD**

A number of possible strategies for the prevention of VOD in children have been investigated, including lipo-prostaglandin E1, danaparoid prophylaxis, and a combined prophylactic regimen of heparin, glutamine and ursodiol (35). A retrospective review of 188 children who received a combined prophylactic regimen of intravenous (IV) heparin, oral glutamine and ursodiol prior to hematopoietic SCT reported a low incidence of VOD with this approach (one case in 188 patients), which again would require confirmation in a larger prospective randomized clinical trial (36).

### **Treatment of VOD**

Current management of established VOD focuses on supportive care, which includes reduction of fluid overload with diuresis, paracentesis, correction of any bleeding diathesis, management of infection, adequate analgesia and in cases of multiorgan failure, hemodialysis and ventilator support (37). Studies investigating systemic anticoagulants and/or thrombolytics as treatment options for VOD have no survival benefit and have a risk of severe and often life threatening bleeding complications (38). One of the more promising agents used for the treatment of VOD has been recombinant t-PA with a response of up to 30%, but t-PA is limited by severe hemorrhagic complications (39).

#### Defibrotide

Defibrotide is a novel agent in development for the treatment of VOD after SCT. A number of recent clinical trials, described below, have evaluated its efficacy and tolerability in this setting.

#### Mechanism of action of defibrotide

Defibrotide is an adenosine receptor agonist with fibrinolytic and other pleiotropic properties (8,40). Defibrotide acts initially at the endothelium and minimal systemic bleeding risk (41,42).

Defibrotide acts primarily as an anti-thrombotic, conferred in part through interactions with the plasmin pathway (6,8). Defibrotide increases t-PA expression in microvascular cells, which is responsible for activation of plasminogen to plasmin and leads to increased plasmin levels and microscopic thrombolysis (42). Defibrotide reduces PAI-1 levels, leading to an increase in active plasmin (42). Defibrotide increases plasmin activity by binding to the enzyme directly but has no effect on activation of plasminogen to plasmin (41). Defibrotide in contrast to t-PA seems to act locally, rather than systemically and this could be the reason for the benign toxicity profile (43).

The anti-thrombotic action of defibrotide at the endothelial cell surface is also achieved through inhibition of tissue factor (TF). It was found that when microvascular endothelial cells were cultured with sera from patients who had undergone autologous SCT there was a marked increase in deposition of TF in the extracellular matrix with pro-thrombotic effects in the liver microvasculature as well as effects on fibrogenesis (44). However, defibrotide was found to not only inhibit this process (44), but also cause release of Tissue Factor Pathway Inhibitor (TFPI) from the endothelial cell surface (45), further abrogating endothelial injury and its downstream effects. In addition sera from patients post-autologous SCT, when added to endothelial cells, stimulated expression of von Willebrand Factor (vWF), a key element of cell surface coagulopathy and platelet aggregation (44). Intriguingly, this effect was markedly and specifically reduced with defibrotide (44).

A number of early clinical studies with defibrotide in the setting of established VOD after SCT suggested not only that various selectins were markedly elevated in VOD patients but also that defibrotide treatment decreased these levels in responding patients (46,47). Intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1) expression is associated with inflammation in the blood vessel wall (48). Defibrotide was found to block this increase in ICAM-1, and significantly reduced the inflammatory response in this endothelial cell model (44).

Defibrotide has been reported to promote endothelial cell proliferation, and has stimulated tubular morphogenesis of endothelial cells cultured in 3D collagen gels (45). These in-vitro findings further support the role of defibrotide in contributing to the recovery of liver injury following vascular occlusion, such as in VOD.

Acute graft versus host disease (GvHD) following SCT is a major cause of mortality and morbidity. Elevated expression of the heparanase gene has been identified as a risk factor for acute GvHD, as well as other factors, inducing increased activity of adhesion molecules (49). Defibrotide was found to suppress heparanase expression (50), which could, in turn, reduce the risk of acute GvHD, as well as favorably influence the expression of adhesion molecules, as described above. The role of defibrotide in decreasing the risk of GVHD described below suggest it may be beneficial.

## Clinical assessment of defibrotide in children

Defibrotide is available in parenteral and oral formulations and does not interfere with most chemotherapeutics in vitro (50). In a retrospective study from the USA, and the first report on the use of defibrotide in this setting, 19 patients (including six patients <20 years old) with severe VOD after SCT (diagnosed according to Baltimore criteria with multi-organ failure (MOF) and/or greater than 30% risk by the Bearman model) were treated with defibrotide on a compassionate-use basis (51). Resolution of VOD (defined as a bilirubin <2 mg/ dL and improvement in other symptoms, including MOF) was observed in 8 patients (42%), with 6 patients surviving for longer than 100 days. There was a trend towards a higher proportion of younger patients (<20 years old) with complete responses, compared with older patients (4 out of 6 (67%), compared with 4 out of 13 patients ≥20 years old (31%) (51).

In a more recent phase II, multicenter, randomized, dose-finding trial, 48 pediatric and 101 adult patients with severe VOD were randomized to receive either 25 mg/kg/day or 40 mg/kg/day of defibrotide (55). Patients were eligible if they had  $\geq$ 30% chance of developing severe VOD according to the Bearman prognostic model (53), or if they had MOF. Overall CR was reported in 57% of pediatric patients compared with 40% of adults; the D+100 survival rate was 52% in children compared with 37% in adults, and manageable toxicity, again suggesting that defibrotide therapy is particularly effective in children, with encouraging activity also seen in adults (52).

A phase III, historically-controlled clinical trial of defibrotide in 102 patients with severe VOD has recently been completed (54). All patients met Baltimore criteria of VOD within 21 days of SCT and had to have developed MOF (defined as significant renal and/or lung dysfunction including dialysis and ventilator-dependence) within 28 days of transplantation. This study included 58 pediatric patients, 44 in the defibrotide group and 14 in the HC group. Subgroup analysis of pediatric patients revealed that 100-day CR was achieved in 36% of patients receiving defibrotide compared with 7% of patients in the HC group (p = 0.04). In the overall patient population, hemorrhagic adverse events were similar between the two groups (65% in the defibrotide arm, 69% in the HC): 18% of patients in the defibrotide arm experienced a drug-related toxicity that led to defibrotide discontinuation, but overall, the drug was well tolerated (54).

## Defibrotide as prophylaxis for VOD

The promising results of defibrotide in treating VOD have resulted in this agent being evaluated as prophylaxis for VOD following SCT. A number of studies investigating the use of prophylactic defibrotide in children with a range of hematologic disorders have reported reduced incidence of VOD as well as a favorable toxicity profile.

A large prospective, randomized, phase II/III multicenter study has recently evaluated defibrotide as prophylaxis (55): 356 pediatric patients were randomly assigned to either defibrotide or the control arm following SCT. VOD incidence by 30 days was assessed according to Seattle criteria, as well as morbidity and overall mortality. Preliminary data showed that defibrotide prophylaxis was associated with a significant reduction in VOD incidence, compared with controls (Intent-to-treat population, 12% versus 20%, p=0.05) (55). This trial also showed that the incidence of severe VOD (including MOF) was substantially higher (60% versus 32%) in patients who fulfilled the Baltimore criteria. These data corroborate therefore the observation made by Coppell et al. (7) who showed that the Baltimore criteria reflect a population with rather advanced VOD. In the subgroup of patients who fulfilled the Baltimore criteria (mandatory hyperbilirubinemia >2mg/dl) the incidence of VOD

in the defibrotide prophylaxis arm was reduced from 13% to 7%. The trial confirmed earlier single center observations (23), that development of VOD led to a four-fold higher transplant-related mortality, compared to patients with no VOD (25% versus 6%; p-value not reported) (55). These data were further corroborated by the unexpectedly high (20%) mortality of patients with so-called mild and moderate VOD.

In a retrospective study, a high incidence of VOD was observed after SCT in children with malignant infantile osteopetrosis; seven of 11 children (64%) transplanted with no prophylaxis between 1996 and 2000 were diagnosed with VOD according to Seattle criteria (including three with severe VOD) (28). Nine further patients transplanted between 2001 and 2005 received defibrotide prophylaxis; only one patient was diagnosed with moderate VOD (11%). Similar to the previous study, no adverse events with defibrotide were reported, and prophylactic defibrotide did not adversely influence the incidence of infections or GvHD.

#### Conclusions

VOD is a potentially devastating complication that can occur following SCT, and severe VOD is almost always fatal (1,2). Therefore, preventing or treating VOD is crucial in lowering transplant related mortality. Current standard treatment remains best supportive care. The prognosis of VOD appears to have been considerably improved by the use of defibrotide with minimal or no toxicity. In addition, the drug may have a role in decreasing GVHD through its anti-inflammatory actions. Ongoing and future research on defibrotide alone or in combination with other agents (either as treatment or prophylaxis) will hopefully further optimize both the therapy of established disease and its prevention, and so improve outcome for pediatric patients undergoing SCT.

#### References

- Bearman SI: The syndrome of hepatic veno-occlusive disease after marrow transplantation. Blood. 85(11), 3005-3020 (1995).
- Kumar S, DeLeve LD, Kamath PS, Tefferi A: Hepatic veno-occlusive disease (sinusoidal obstruction syndrome) after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Mayo Clin Proc. 78(5), 589-598 (2003).
- McDonald GB, Sharma P, Matthews DE, Shulman HM, Thomas ED: Venocclusive Disease of the Liver after Bone Marrow Transplantation: Diagnosis, Incidence, and Predisposing Factors. Hepatology. 4(1), 116-122 (1984).
- 4. Jones RJ, Lee KS, Beschorner WE et al.: Venoocclusive disease of the liver following bone marrow transplantation. Transplantation. 44(6), 778-783 (1987).

- Bearman SI, Appelbaum FR, Buckner CD et al.: Regimen-related toxicity in patients undergoing bone marrow transplantation. J Clin Oncol. 6(10), 1562-1568 (1988).
- Richardson P , Guinan E: The pathology, diagnosis, and treatment of hepatic veno-occlusive disease: current status and novel approaches. Br J Haematol. 107(3), 485-493 (1999).
- 7. Coppell JA, Richardson PG, Soiffer R et al.: Hepatic veno-occlusive disease following stem cell transplantation: incidence, clinical course, and outcome. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 16(2), 157-168 (2010).
- 8. Richardson P , Guinan E: Hepatic veno-occlusive disease following hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Acta Haematol. 106(1-2), 57-68 (2001).
- Carreras E: Veno-occlusive disease of the liver after hemopoietic cell transplantation. Eur J Haematol. 64(5), 281-291 (2000).
- Baars JW, de Boer JP, Wagstaff J et al.: Interleukin-2 induces activation of coagulation and fibrinolysis: resemblance to the changes seen during experimental endotoxaemia. Br J Haematol. 82(2), 295-301 (1992).
- Holler E, Kolb HJ, Moller A et al.: Increased serum levels of tumor necrosis factor alpha precede major complications of bone marrow transplantation. Blood. 75(4), 1011-1016 (1990).
- Nawroth PP, Handley DA, Esmon CT, Stern DM: Interleukin 1 induces endothelial cell procoagulant while suppressing cell-surface anticoagulant activity. Proc Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 83(10), 3460-3464 (1986).
- 13. DeLeve LD, Wang X, Kanel GC et al.: Decreased hepatic nitric oxide production contributes to the development of rat sinusoidal obstruction syndrome. Hepatology. 38(4), 900-908 (2003).
- Kuroki I, Miyazaki T, Mizukami I, Matsumoto N, Matsumoto I: Effect of sodium nitroprusside on ischemia-reperfusion injuries of the rat liver. Hepatogastroenterology. 51(59), 1404-1407 (2004).
- 15. Nurnberger W, Michelmann I, Burdach S, Gobel U: Endothelial dysfunction after bone marrow transplantation: increase of soluble thrombomodulin and PAI-1 in patients with multiple transplant-related complications. Ann Hematol. 76(2), 61-65 (1998).
- Salat C, Holler E, Reinhardt B et al.: Parameters of the fibrinolytic system in patients undergoing BMT: elevation of PAI-1 in veno-occlusive disease. Bone Marrow Transplant. 14(5), 747-750 (1994).
- Reilly TM, Mousa SA, Seetharam R, Racanelli AL: Recombinant plasminogen activator inhibitor type 1: a review of structural, functional, and biological aspects. Blood Coagul. Fibrinolysis. 5(1), 73-81 (1994).
- 18. Lee JH, Lee KH, Lee JH et al.: Plasminogen activator inhibitor-1 is an independent diagnostic marker as well as severity predictor of hepatic veno-occlusive disease after allogeneic bone marrow transplantation in adults conditioned with busulphan and cyclophosphamide. Br J Haematol. 118(4), 1087-1094 (2002).
- 19. Czaja MJ, Weiner FR, Flanders KC et al.: In vitro and in vivo association of transforming growth factor-beta

1 with hepatic fibrosis. J Cell Biol. 108(6), 2477-2482 (1989).

- 20. Anscher MS, Peters WP, Reisenbichler H, Petros WP, Jirtle RL: Transforming growth factor beta as a predictor of liver and lung fibrosis after autologous bone marrow transplantation for advanced breast cancer. N Engl J Med. 328(22), 1592-1598 (1993).
- Pihusch V, Pihusch M, Penovici M, Kolb HJ, Hiller E, Pihusch R: Transforming growth factor beta-1 released from platelets contributes to hypercoagulability in veno-occlusive disease following hematopoetic stem cell transplantation. Thromb. Res. 116(3), 233-240 (2005).
- 22. Ljungman P, Urbano-Ispizua A, Cavazzana-Calvo M et al.: Allogeneic and autologous transplantation for haematological diseases, solid tumours and immune disorders: definitions and current practice in Europe. Bone Marrow Transplant. 37(5), 439-449 (2006).
- 23. Barker CC, Butzner JD, Anderson RA, Brant R, Sauve RS: Incidence, survival and risk factors for the development of veno-occlusive disease in pediatric hematopoietic stem cell transplant recipients. Bone Marrow Transplant. 32(1), 79-87 (2003).
- Cesaro S, Pillon M, Talenti E et al.: A prospective survey on incidence, risk factors and therapy of hepatic veno-occlusive disease in children after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Haematologica. 90(10), 1396-1404 (2005).
- Corbacioglu S, Honig M, Lahr G et al.: Stem cell transplantation in children with infantile osteopetrosis is associated with a high incidence of VOD, which could be prevented with defibrotide. Bone Marrow Transplant. 38(8), 547-553 (2006).
- 29. Horn B, Reiss U, Matthay K, McMillan A, Cowan M: Veno-occlusive disease of the liver in children with solid tumors undergoing autologous hematopoietic progenitor cell transplantation: a high incidence in patients with neuroblastoma. Bone Marrow Transplant. 29(1), 409-415 (2002).
- 30. Ouachee-Chardin M, Elie C, de Saint Basile G et al.: Hematopoietic Stem Cell Transplantation in Hemophagocytic Lymphohistiocytosis: A Single-Center Report of 48 Patients. Pediatrics. 117(4), e743-e750 (2006).
- Qureshi A, Marshall L, Lancaster D: Defibrotide in the prevention and treatment of veno-occlusive disease in autologous and allogeneic stem cell transplantation in children. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 50(4), 831-832 (2008).
- 32. Meresse V, Hartmann O, Vassal G et al.: Risk factors for hepatic veno-occlusive disease after high-dose busulfan-containing regimens followed by autologous bone marrow transplantation: a study in 136 children. Bone Marrow. Transplant. 10(2), 135-141 (1992).
- 33. McPherson ME, Hutcherson D, Olson E, Haight AE, Horan J, Chiang KY: Safety and efficacy of targeted busulfan therapy in children undergoing myeloablative matched sibling donor BMT for sickle cell disease. Bone Marrow. Transplant. 46(1), 27-33 (2011).
- Arceci RJ, Sande J, Lange B et al.: Safety and efficacy of gemtuzumab ozogamicin in pediatric patients with advanced CD33+ acute myeloid leukemia. Blood.

106(4), 1183-1188 (2005).

- 35. Sakaguchi H, Watanabe N, Muramatsu H et al.: Danaparoid as the prophylaxis for hepatic venoocclusive disease after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation in childhood hematological malignancy. Pediatr Blood Cancer. 55(6), 1118-1125 (2010).
- 36. Lakshminarayanan S, Sahdev I, Goyal M, Vlachos A, Atlas M, Lipton JM: Low incidence of hepatic veno-occlusive disease in pediatric patients undergoing hematopoietic stem cell transplantation attributed to a combination of intravenous heparin, oral glutamine, and ursodiol at a single transplant institution. Pediatr Transplant. 14(5), 618-621 (2010).
- DeLeve LD, Valla DC, Garcia-Tsao G: Vascular disorders of the liver. Hepatology. 49(5), 1729-1764 (2009).
- Ho VT, Revta C, Richardson PG: Hepatic venoocclusive disease after hematopoietic stem cell transplantation: update on defibrotide and other current investigational therapies. Bone Marrow Transplant. 41(3), 229-237 (2008).
- 39. Bajwa RP, Cant AJ, Abinun M et al.: Recombinant tissue plasminogen activator for treatment of hepatic veno-occlusive disease following bone marrow transplantation in children: effectiveness and a scoring system for initiating treatment. Bone Marrow. Transplant. 31(7), 591-597 (2003).
- 40. Bianchi G, Barone D, Lanzarotti E et al.: Defibrotide, a single-stranded polydeoxyribonucleotide acting as an adenosine receptor agonist. Eur J Pharmacol. 238(2-3), 327-334 (1993).
- Echart CL, Graziadio B, Somaini S et al.: The fibrinolytic mechanism of defibrotide: effect of defibrotide on plasmin activity. Blood Coagul. Fibrinolysis. 20(8), 627-634 (2009).
- Falanga A, Vignoli A, Marchetti M, Barbui T: Defibrotide reduces procoagulant activity and increases fibrinolytic properties of endothelial cells. Leukemia. 17(8), 1636-1642 (2003).
- 43. Bearman SI, Lee JL, Baron AE, McDonald GB: Treatment of hepatic venocclusive disease with recombinant human tissue plasminogen activator and heparin in 42 marrow transplant patients. Blood. 89(5), 1501-1506 (1997).
- 44. Palomo M, az-Ricart M, Rovira M, Escolar G, Carreras E: Defibrotide prevents the activation of macrovascular and microvascular endothelia caused by soluble factors released to blood by autologous hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 14(4), 497-506 (2011).
- 45. Benimetskaya L, Wu S, Voskresenskiy AM et al.: Angiogenesis alteration by defibrotide: implications for its mechanism of action in severe hepatic veno-occlusive disease. Blood. 112(10), 4343-4352 (2008).
- 46. Richardson PG, Warren D, and Morntaz P. Multiinstitutional phase II randomized dose finding study of defibrotide (DF) in patients (pts) with severe veno-

occlusive disease (VOD) and multisystem organ failure (MOF) post stem cell transplantation (SCT): promising response rate without significant toxicity in a high risk population. Blood. 98, Abstract 853a (2001)

- 47. Richardson PG, Murakami C, Jin Z et al.: Multiinstitutional use of defibrotide in 88 patients after stem cell transplantation with severe veno-occlusive disease and multisystem organ failure: response without significant toxicity in a high-risk population and factors predictive of outcome. Blood. 100(13), 4337-4343 (2002).
- Lawson C , Wolf S: ICAM-1 signaling in endothelial cells. Pharmacol Rep. 61(1), 22-32 (2009).
- 49. Ostrovsky O, Shimoni A, Rand A, Vlodavsky I, Nagler A: Genetic variations in the heparanase gene (HPSE) associate with increased risk of GVHD following allogeneic stem cell transplantation: effect of discrepancy between recipients and donors. Blood. 115(11), 2319-2328 (2010).
- Mitsiades CS, Rouleau C, Echart C et al.: Preclinical studies in support of defibrotide for the treatment of multiple myeloma and other neoplasias. Clin Cancer Res. 15(4), 1210-1221 (2009).
- 51. Richardson PG, Elias AD, Krishnan A et al.: Treatment of severe veno-occlusive disease with defibrotide: compassionate use results in response without significant toxicity in a high-risk population. Blood. 92(3), 737-744 (1998).
- 52. Richardson PG, Soiffer RJ, Antin JH et al.: Defibrotide for the treatment of severe hepatic veno-occlusive disease and multiorgan failure after stem cell transplantation: a multicenter, randomized, dosefinding trial. Biol Blood Marrow Transplant. 16(7), 1005-1017 (2010).
- 53. Bearman SI, Anderson GL, Mori M, Hinds MS, Shulman HM, McDonald GB: Venoocclusive disease of the liver: development of a model for predicting fatal outcome after marrow transplantation. J Clin Oncol. 11(9), 1729-1736 (1993).
- 54. Richardson P, Tomblyn M, Kernan N, Brochstein JA, Mineishi S, Termuhlen A, Arai S, Grupp SA, Guinan E, Martin PL, Corbacioglu S, Holler E, D'Agostino R, Massaro J, Hannah AL, Iacobelli M, and Soiffer RJ. Defibrotide (DF) in the treatment of severe hepatic veno-occlusive disease (VOD) with multi-organ failure (MOF) following stem cell transplantation (SCT): results of a phase 3 study utilizing a historical control. Blood. 114, Abstract 654 (2009).
- 55. Corbacioglu S, Cesaro S, Faraci M et al.: Defibrotide prevents hepatic VOD and reduces significantly VODassociated complications in children at high risk: final results of a prospective phase II/III multicentre study. Bone Marrow Transplant. 45 (Suppl 2), S1-(2010).